

Comment on "First Observation for a Cuprate Superconductor of Fluctuation-Induced Diamagnetism Well Inside the Finite-Magnetic-Field Regime"

T. Mishonov^{*†}

*Laboratorium voor Vaste-Stoffysica en Magnetisme, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven,
Celestijnenlaan 200 D, B-3001 Leuven, Belgium*

The recent Letter by Carballeira *et al.* [1] is an important hint that fluctuation-induced diamagnetism in $\text{La}_{1.9}\text{Sr}_{0.1}\text{CuO}_4$ is due to Gaussian fluctuations of the Ginzburg-Landau (GL) order parameter. These results show that the accuracy of the GL theory with cutoff in the in-plane energy will probably be enough for an adequate description of the fluctuation phenomena in high- T_c superconductors. Surprisingly, I found, however, that the authors of Ref. [1] have used expressions to fit their experimental data identical to the formulae of the review Ref. [2] where a detailed derivation is presented. Indeed, the local two-dimensional (2D) limit ($r \ll \epsilon + h \ll c$) for the fluctuation magnetisation Eq. (3) of Ref. [1] is identical to Eq. (145) of Ref. [2]. Likewise, the formula for the 2D magnetisation Eq. (2) of Ref. [1] is nothing but a special case of the general expression Eq. (135) of Ref. [2] for the fluctuation magnetisation of a "single layered superconductor",

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta M(\epsilon, h; r, c) = & -\frac{k_B T}{\Phi_0 S} \left(\frac{c}{2h} + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/2} d\varphi \left\{ -\ln \Gamma \left(\frac{\epsilon + h + r \sin^2 \varphi}{2h} \right) \right. \right. \\ & + \ln \Gamma \left(\frac{c + \epsilon + h + r \sin^2 \varphi}{2h} \right) + \left[\frac{\epsilon + r \sin^2 \varphi}{2h} \psi \left(\frac{\epsilon + h + r \sin^2 \varphi}{2h} \right) \right. \\ & \left. \left. \left. - \frac{c + \epsilon + r \sin^2 \varphi}{2h} \psi \left(\frac{c + \epsilon + h + r \sin^2 \varphi}{2h} \right) \right] \right\} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where for small values of the Lawrence-Doniach (LD) dimensional crossover parameter $r = (2\xi_c(0)/s)^2 \ll \epsilon + h$ the averaging with respect to the Josephson phase 2φ can be omitted. At the critical temperature, $\epsilon = 0$, and high magnetic fields, $h \gg r$, the 2D approximation, Eq. (137) of Ref. [2], predicts an universal scaling law given in Fig. 1 of Ref. [2],

$$-\Delta M(\epsilon = 0, h \gg r; c) = \frac{k_B T}{\Phi_0} \left\{ \ln \Gamma \left(\frac{1}{y} + \frac{1}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \ln \pi + \left[1 - \psi \left(\frac{1}{y} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] \right\}, \quad (2)$$

*tel.:(++32)-16-327193, fax.:(++32)-16-327983, e-mail: todor.mishonov@fys.kuleuven.ac.be

[†]On leave from: Department of Theoretical Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Sofia, 5 J. Bourchier Blvd., Bg-1164 Sofia, Bulgaria, e-mail: mishonov@rose.phys.uni-sofia.bg

where $y = 2h/c$. In the opposite case of local 3D behavior near the phase curve $H_{c2}(T)$ ($\epsilon + h \ll r, c$) the fluctuation magnetic moment is expressed via the Hurwitz ζ -functions, Eq. (183) of Ref. [2],

$$-\Delta M(\epsilon, h \ll r, c) = \frac{k_B T}{\Phi_0} 3 \left(\frac{2}{r} \right)^{1/2} \sqrt{h} \left[\zeta \left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2h} \right) - \frac{1}{3} \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2h} \right) \frac{\epsilon}{2h} \right]; \quad (3)$$

cf. also Ref. [3], where the ζ -function method for ultraviolet regularization has been already applied to the GL theory of Gaussian fluctuations for an uniaxial superconductor. At the critical temperature, $T = T_c$, this local formula, $h \ll r, c$ gives the well-known Prange result with a correction for the anisotropy, Eq. (184) of Ref. [2],

$$-\Delta M(h) = \left(\frac{k_B T}{\Phi_0} \right) 3\sqrt{2} \zeta \left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right) \sqrt{\frac{h}{r}} = 3\pi^{1/2} \zeta \left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{k_B T}{\Phi_0^{3/2}} \frac{\xi_{ab}(0)}{\xi_c(0)} \sqrt{\mu_0 H}. \quad (4)$$

The above findings, thus, strongly point to the ultimate importance of the magnetisation curves at T_c as it is well described in the textbook by Tinkham [4]. Therefore, instead of only an order evaluation like $c \simeq \frac{1}{2}$, a reliable determination of the energy cutoff parameter for CuO₂ plane $E_{\max} = c\hbar^2/2m_{ab}^*\xi_{ab}^2(0)$ and LD parameter r can be achieved by a two parameter fit of the magnetisation curve $-\Delta M(T_c, H; r, c)$ employing the complete analytical result for a layered superconductor Eq. (1). The weak magnetic field region (behind the critical region near $h = 0$ which could be influenced also by the disorder), cf. Eq. (4), determines the anisotropy parameter $\xi_{ab}(0)/\xi_c(0) = (m_c^*/m_{ab}^*)^{1/2}$ and LD parameter r , while the strong magnetic field asymptotics fixes the scale of the y variable from Eq. (2) and the cutoff parameter c . If those values come to be in agreement with an analogous fit to the fluctuation conductivity based upon Eq. (198) of Ref. [2] we could consider the *Gaussian spectroscopy* of high- T_c materials for having been already established. The aim of the present comment is to provoke the performance of simple additional measurements at T_c which, after a professional experimental data processing, will reveal an important quantitative information concerning the GL parameters and applicability of the GL theory for high- T_c superconductors in general. Confirmation of this would be crucial for the further studies of the fluctuation phenomena in superconductors.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Carballeira, J. Mosqueira, A. Revcolevschi, and F. Vidal, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 3157 (2000).
- [2] T. Mishonov and E. Penev, *Thermodynamics of Gaussian fluctuations and paraconductivity in layered superconductors*, cond-mat/0004023 (To be published as review article in International Journal of Modern Physics B).
- [3] T. Mishonov, "Fluctuation Torque for High Temperature Superconductors in High Magnetic Fields", in *Physics and Materials Science of High Temperature Superconductors*, Edited by R. Kossowsky *et al.* (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1990), NATO ASI E **181**.
- [4] M. Tinkham, *Introduction to Superconductivity* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1996), Chap. 8, Fig. 8.5, p. 306.